metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Duo-Zhi Wang, Xiao-Lan Tong and Jian-Rong Li*

Department of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jianrongli@nankai.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.030 wR factor = 0.071 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis[μ -N-(1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)pyridine-3-carboxamide- $\kappa^2 N^1$, N^3]disilver(I) bis(perchlorate)

In the title compound, $[Ag_2(C_8H_6N_4OS)_2](ClO_4)_2$, each Ag^I center in the centrosymmetric dinuclear complex cation is coordinated by one pyridine N and a thiadiazole N atom of two inversion-related *N*-(1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)pyridine-3-carboxamide ligands in an almost linear geometry [Ag-N = 2.187 (3) and 2.172 (3) Å, and $N-Ag-N = 171.8 (1)^\circ]$. Weak $Ag \cdots Ag$ and Ag-perchlorate interactions, together with $\pi - \pi$ stacking interactions, link the complex cations along the *a* axis to form a ribbon.

Comment

1,3,4-Thiadiazole and its derivatives are versatile ligands that can bridge a variety of metal centers to form complexes with different structures and properties (Steel, 1990). In most cases, the ligand coordinates to the metal atom through the N–N azine group to form dinuclear complexes (Ferrer *et al.*, 1990; Tandon *et al.*, 1994; Sheppard *et al.*, 1996). The coordination properties may be changed by introducing substituent groups with donors. N-1,3,4-Thiadiazol-2-yl)pyridine-3-carboxamide (L) reacts with AgClO₄ to give a dinuclear complex, (I), without N–N azine coordination.



The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of one-half of the dimeric complex cation (Fig. 1), the other half being generated by an inversion center, and a perchlorate anion. Each Ag^{I} center is coordinated by one pyridine N and a thiadiazole N atom of two inversion-related *L* ligands in an almost linear geometry (Table 1). The $Ag \cdots Ag$ separation in the dimeric complex cation is 6.603 (2) Å.

The pyridine and thiadiazole rings of the *L* ligand are almost coplanar [dihedral angle is 9.6 (2)°], and thus the dimeric complex is approximately planar. The perchlorate anions lie on either side of the plane and weakly coordinate to Ag atoms, with Ag1 \cdots O3B and Ag1 \cdots O5 distances of 2.834 (3) and 2.923 (2) Å, respectively (Fig. 1). In addition, there are hydrogen-bonding interactions between O atoms of

© 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Received 20 March 2007 Accepted 2 April 2007

3416 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.019$

2415 independent reflections

1994 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

mm



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level [symmetry codes: (A) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (B) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z].



Figure 2

A view of the complex cations in (I), showing $Ag \cdots Ag$ weak interactions (dashed open lines) and π - π stacking interactions (dashed lines).

perchlorate anions and amido H atoms of the complex cations (Table 2).

In the crystal structure, dinuclear complex cations are held together by $Ag \cdots Ag(-x, 1 - y, 1 - z)$ weak interactions (Table 1), $\pi - \pi$ stacking interactions between the pyridine (centroid Cg1) and thiadiazole rings (centroid Cg2) $[Cg1 \cdots Cg2(1 + x, y, z) = 3.650 (2) \text{ Å}]$, and weak coordination of perchlorate anions (mentioned above) to form a ribbon along the *a* axis (Fig. 2).

Experimental

N-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)pyridine-3-carboxamid (L) was synthesized by the method of Hou et al. (2004). Single crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by diffusing a methanol solution (3 ml) of $AgClO_4$ (21 mg, 0.1 mmol) into a chloroform solution (3 ml) of L (21 mg, 0.1 mmol) using a methanol/chloroform mixed solution (4 ml, 1:1) as interlayer at room temperature. Colourless crystals were collected after one week (yield 50%). Analysis calculated for C₈H₆AgClN₄O₅S (413.54): C 23.24, H 1.46, N 13.55%; found: C 23.01, H 1.55, N 13.48%.

E

$[Ag_2(C_8H_6N_4OS)_2](ClO_4)_2$	$\gamma = 76.403 \ (3)^{\circ}$
$M_r = 827.10$	V = 599.74 (19) Å
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Z = 1
a = 7.7234 (14) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 7.9089 (15) Å	$\mu = 2.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 10.4790 (19) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\alpha = 85.374 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.18$
$\beta = 74.614 \ (3)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD areadetector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 1998) $T_{\min} = 0.958, T_{\max} = 1.000$ (expected range = 0.656 - 0.685)

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	181 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.071$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.03	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.62 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2415 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Ag1-N1	2.187 (3)	O1-C6	1.204 (4)
Ag1-N3 ⁱ	2.172 (3)	N2-C6	1.376 (4)
Ag1···Ag1 ⁱⁱ	3.3170 (7)	N2-C7	1.368 (4)

13 ⁱ -Ag1-N1	171.8 (1)
-------------------------	-----------

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 2

N

Hydrogen-bond geometry (A, °)).
-------------------------------	----

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N2 - H2B \cdots O5^{i}$ $N2 - H2B \cdots O4$	0.86 0.86	2.14 2.52	2.910 (4) 2.981 (4)	149 114

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

H atoms were included in calculated positions and treated in the subsequent refinement as riding atoms, with C-H = 0.93 Å, N-H =0.86 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C,N)$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and PLATON (Spek, 2003).

The authors thank Nankai University for supporting this work.

References

- Bruker (1998). SMART (Version 5.051), SAINT (Version 5.01), SADABS (Version 2.03) and SHELXTL (Version 6.1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ferrer, S., Borras, J., Miratvilles, C. & Fuertes, A. (1990). Inorg. Chem. 29, 206-210.
- Hou, H., Wei, Y., Song, Y., Fan, Y. & Zhu, Y. (2004). Inorg. Chem. 43, 1323-1327.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheppard, C. I., Tandon, S. S., Thompson, L. K., Bridson, J. N., Miller, D. O., Handa, M. & Lloret, F. (1996). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 250, 227–239.

- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13.
 Steel, P. J. (1990). Coord. Chem. Rev. 90, 227–265.
 Tandon, S. S., Chen, L., Thompson, L. K. & Bridson, J. N. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 490-497.